Institutional Vulnerability And Corruption In The Health Sector: Measurement And Impact On The Nation

Received: 15.03.2026
Revised: 02.08.2026
Accepted: 04.08.2026
Published: 11.08.2026

Tsvetelina METODIEVA[1]

[1]*Konstantin Preslavski University of Shumen, Shumen, Bulgaria*

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract: *This report examines institutional vulnerability and corruption practices in the healthcare sector, as well as their impact on national security and the overall security of the state. Healthcare is positioned as a strategic sector with direct implications for social stability, economic development, and the resilience of public institutions. Corruption and institutional weaknesses result in inefficient resource allocation, reduced quality of healthcare services, and the exacerbation of social inequalities. The report analyzes the main forms of corruption, the methodologies for their assessment, and the specific structural deficiencies of the Bulgarian healthcare system. Particular emphasis is placed on the relationship between institutional vulnerability and risks to national security, while outlining potential directions for reform and for strengthening system resilience.*

Keywords: *Institutional vulnerability, Corruption in healthcare, Health sector governance, National security*

1. INTRODUCTION

The healthcare sector is one of the most important subsystems of public administration, as it directly affects the life, health, and well-being of the population. It serves not only a social function but also a strategic one closely linked to national security and state resilience. In contemporary conditions, healthcare is regarded as critical infrastructure whose stability is essential for the functioning of society as a whole.

Corruption in the healthcare sector represents a complex and multidimensional problem that extends beyond isolated violations and assumes a systemic character. It affects both the financing and management of the system, as well as the relationships between patients, healthcare professionals, and institutions. In this context, institutional vulnerability emerges as a key factor facilitating the occurrence and spread of corrupt practices [1].

Institutional vulnerability may be defined as a condition in which a system is unable to prevent, control, or minimize internal and external risks due to structural, organizational, and managerial weaknesses. It arises from the accumulation of deficiencies in the regulatory framework, ineffective control mechanisms, and insufficient coordination among institutional units. Within the healthcare sector, this condition is particularly evident through inefficient resource management, limited transparency in decision-making processes, a lack of adequate accountability, and underdeveloped systems of internal and external control. As a result, the system struggles to respond effectively to crises, while managerial decisions are often made reactively rather than strategically.

Corruption, in turn, constitutes the deliberate abuse of public authority, position, or resources for personal or group gain. In healthcare, corruption manifests itself in various forms and at different levels of the system. These include informal payments between patients and medical personnel, manipulation of public procurement procedures, conflicts of interest in the allocation of funds and medicines, and the improper diversion of public resources toward specific organizations or individuals. Such practices violate the fundamental principles of equality, fairness, and universal access to healthcare services, leading to increased social inequality and a decline in public trust in the healthcare system [2].

In this context, institutional vulnerability and corruption should be viewed as interconnected and mutually reinforcing phenomena. Where control mechanisms are weak or ineffective, the likelihood of corrupt practices increases significantly because the absence of effective oversight and sanctions reduces the risk of punishment. At the same time, the spread of corruption further weakens institutional capacity, undermines the legitimacy of governance structures, and deepens systemic deficiencies. Consequently, a persistent vicious cycle is created in which institutional instability and corruption continuously reproduce one another, resulting in the long-term inefficiency of the healthcare system.

Measuring corruption in the healthcare sector presents a methodological challenge due to its hidden, often informal, and difficult-to-observe nature. Unlike many other social phenomena, corruption is rarely recorded directly, making it necessary to employ indirect methods of assessment. For this reason, both academic research and institutional practice rely on a combined methodological approach integrating quantitative and qualitative techniques. Quantitative tools include analyses of public expenditures, statistical data on healthcare financing, and various international comparative indices. Qualitative methods encompass studies of public trust, expert assessments, institutional audits, and analyses of administrative practices, all of which contribute to a deeper understanding of the structural weaknesses within the system.

One of the most widely used instruments for assessing corruption levels is the Corruption Perceptions Index developed by Transparency International. This index provides a comparative assessment of perceived corruption in the public sector across different countries, enabling international comparisons and the identification of trends over time. In addition, the World Health Organization conducts systematic analyses of healthcare systems through global reports evaluating their effectiveness, sustainability, and capacity for resource management, including risks associated with institutional vulnerability [1], [2]. The combined use of these instruments enables not only a snapshot assessment of current conditions but also the monitoring of corruption dynamics over time. This is essential for the formulation of effective public policies aimed at reducing corruption and strengthening institutional capacity. Furthermore, such an approach facilitates the identification of systemic risks and creates opportunities for early prevention through targeted reforms and enhanced transparency in healthcare governance.

The Bulgarian healthcare system is characterized by a number of long-standing structural weaknesses that collectively increase institutional vulnerability and limit its capacity for effective functioning. One of the most significant characteristics of the system is chronic underfunding, which has a direct and long-term impact on all its components. Limited financial resources hinder the modernization of healthcare infrastructure, delay the introduction of advanced medical technologies, and restrict opportunities for improving working conditions in healthcare institutions. As a result, the system frequently operates under outdated material and technolog-

* **Corresponding author.**
E-mail address: ts.metodieva@shu.bg

ical conditions, negatively affecting both the quality of healthcare services and the safety of patients and medical personnel.

Another significant structural problem is the insufficient effectiveness of mechanisms controlling the expenditure of public funds. In many cases, there is inadequate transparency in the planning, awarding, and implementation of public procurement procedures, creating a favorable environment for administrative irregularities and potential corruption. This deficiency weakens institutional accountability and hinders the timely detection and sanctioning of misconduct. Moreover, there is limited capacity for independent auditing and monitoring, further exacerbating the problem of opacity.

These factors are compounded by a pronounced shortage of healthcare professionals, which constitutes one of the most serious challenges facing the system. The lack of medical specialists' places considerable pressure on existing staff, increasing the risk of professional burnout, reduced concentration, and a higher likelihood of errors in medical practice. At the same time, low motivation and limited opportunities for professional development further deepen the workforce crisis and make it difficult to retain qualified specialists within the system [3]. Taken together, these factors create an environment in which institutional vulnerability is not only maintained but also intensified over time. The lack of adequate control, combined with limited resources and workforce shortages, creates conditions in which corrupt practices can be reproduced systematically. This results in persistent dysfunctions in healthcare governance and hampers the implementation of effective reforms aimed at improving transparency, efficiency, and sustainability.

Corruption in the healthcare sector has a significant and multifaceted impact on national security because it simultaneously affects the social, economic, and institutional stability of the state. In this context, healthcare should not be viewed merely as an area of public policy but as a strategic component of the national security system whose performance directly influences societal resilience.

At the social level, corrupt practices lead to a serious erosion of trust in public institutions, including the healthcare system, which is expected to guarantee equal access to healthcare and the protection of citizens' health. When the population perceives that access to quality medical care depends on informal payments, personal connections, or administrative privileges, public dissatisfaction increases and social inequalities deepen. In the long term, such processes may lead to social fragmentation and a decline in the legitimacy of public authority.

From an economic perspective, corruption in the healthcare sector results in the inefficient and often suboptimal use of limited public resources. Financial resources that could otherwise be directed toward infrastructure improvements, equipment modernization, or staff training are instead diverted or spent inefficiently. This reduces opportunities for sustainable investment within the system and negatively affects other key sectors of the economy. Indirectly, there is also a decline in workforce productivity, as deteriorating healthcare services led to higher morbidity rates, longer periods of incapacity for work, and increased expenditures on social and healthcare policies.

In a broader strategic context, corruption weakens the institutional capacity of the state and reduces its ability to manage crises effectively. This includes not only epidemic situations but also other forms of emergencies in which the healthcare system plays a crucial role in response and stabilization efforts. Limited institutional effectiveness, combined with low public trust, creates conditions for delayed responses, uncoordinated actions, and higher levels of societal risk [2].

Effective counteraction to corruption in the healthcare sector requires the implementation of a comprehensive and multidimensional approach encompassing institutional, regulatory, organizational, and technological measures. Due to the systemic nature of corrupt practices, isolated actions are insufficient to achieve sustainable results. In this regard, the development and full implementation of e-health systems are of particular importance, as they significantly increase process transparency, reduce human involvement in resource administration, and limit opportunities for irregular practices. The digitalization of healthcare services enables traceability of actions, thereby increasing accountability and making it more difficult to conceal misconduct.

A substantial role in reducing corruption risks is also played by strengthening control mechanisms within the system. This includes not only the formal existence of audit structures but also their actual independence, functional effectiveness, and capacity for timely intervention. Equally important is the development of internal and external monitoring systems capable of systematically overseeing financial flows, managerial decisions, and the quality of healthcare services. Such mechanisms contribute to the early identification of risky practices and help limit their spread.

In addition to institutional and technological measures, a key factor in the sustainable fight against corruption is the introduction of clear and strictly regulated procedures for public procurement, as well as effective measures to prevent conflicts of interest. Transparency in the allocation and expenditure of public funds is a fundamental element in increasing public trust and reducing opportunities for abuse.

Last but not least, developing an anti-corruption organizational culture within healthcare institutions is of critical importance. This includes promoting professional ethics, responsibility, and accountability among medical and administrative personnel, as well as creating an environment in which good practices are encouraged and violations are sanctioned consistently and effectively. In this sense, combating corruption should be viewed not merely as an administrative task but as a long-term cultural and institutional process [3].

2. CONCLUSION

Institutional vulnerability and corruption in the healthcare sector constitute a significant and multidimensional threat to national security, social stability, and the sustainable development of the state. These phenomena should not be regarded as isolated administrative violations but rather as systemic problems affecting the foundations of public governance and the effectiveness of state institutions. In the long term, they lead to the erosion of institutional trust, deterioration in the quality of healthcare services, and the deepening of social and economic inequalities. Beyond their direct consequences for the healthcare system, corruption and institutional instability also have broader strategic implications. They limit the state's capacity to design and implement effective public policies, reduce its resilience in times of crisis, and hinder coordination among institutions. In this regard, the healthcare sector becomes an indicator of the overall condition of the governance system and the level of institutional maturity.

Addressing these complex challenges requires the implementation of a systematic and long-term approach involving structural reforms, enhanced institutional transparency, strengthened control mechanisms, and the development of effective accountability at all levels of governance. Of particular importance is the creation of a sustainable environment in which the principles of legality, professional ethics, and public responsibility are applied consistently and without exception. Only through targeted, coordinated, and sustainable actions involving state institutions, professional communities, and civil society can long-term stabilization of the healthcare system be achieved. This is a prerequisite for building an effective, transparent, and resilient healthcare sector capable of meeting the real needs of society and contributing to the strengthening of national security and public trust. sualization in undergraduate engineering mathematics education.

REFERENCES

[1] **Transparency International.** *Corruption Perceptions Index 2025*. Available at: `https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2025`

[2] **World Health Organization (WHO).** *Health Systems Governance*. Available at: `https://www.who.int/health-topics/health-systems-governance`

[3] **Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).** *Health at a Glance 2025: OECD Indicators*, OECD Publishing, Paris, 2025. DOI: 10.1787/8f9e3f98-en.

[4] **Center for the Study of Democracy.** *Analyses on Bulgaria.*